



## **STOC free**

### A Surveillance analysis Tool for Outcome-based Comparison of the confidence of freedom generated by control or eradication programmes

**G. van Schaik,** A. van Roon, M. Mercat, A. Madouasse, C. Fourichon, S. More, D. Graham, J. Frössling, A. Lindberg, J. Gethmann, C. Sauter, G. Gunn, C. Gomes, M. Nielen, I. Santman





Bundesforschungsinstitut für Tiergesundheit

Federal Research Institute for Animal Health





Animal Health Ireland.i









Examples of cattle trade related introduction of diseases:

- BVDV in Denmark associated with import from the Netherlands
- Bovine TB to Belgium and the Netherlands from calves imported from UK and Ireland
- Bovine besnoitiosis into Ireland through import of apparently healthy animals
- Etc..





















- Risk of transmission of diseases through cattle movements
- EU countries have:
  - Diverse control/eradication programmes (even more so with new Animal Health Law)
  - Different definitions of "free" status
- Therefore, outcomes of programmes cannot be compared and the degree of certainty about freedom of infection varies
- We need: standardized measures to enhance safety of trade















## Aim of the project

### Develop and validate a new tool:

# **STOC free**

## that enables a **transparent and standardized comparison of confidence of freedom** for control programmes.

#### 9 March 2017 – 9 March 2021

















#### Answering the question

When trading an animal: does it pose a risk of introducing an infection into the destination herd ?

#### p(freedom | information)

What is the probability and uncertainty that an animal is free of infection when leaving the farm given available information ?















Challenges:

- Easy to use by stakeholders
- Heterogeneous inputs, uniform output
- Output on different levels of aggregation
- BVDV as case disease but adaptable to multiple diseases in multiple species

















A data collection tool

- 1. Description of control programmes (CPs) for BVDV **RISK**
- 2. Define risk factors for introduction and delayed detection
  - expert opinion,
  - literature review and meta analyses
- 3. Create an expanded questionnaire
- 4. Transform questionnaire into a data-collection tool for STOC free model

















- Includes risk of <u>introduction</u> and <u>delayed detection</u>
- Assumes a homogeneous biological system of a disease
- Deals with heterogeneous sources of input information
- Provide the <u>probability</u> and associated <u>uncertainty</u> that an animal from a free herd/ territory is truly free
- Bayesian network using directed acyclic graphs (DAGs)
  - Allows to connect various pieces of information
  - Is very flexible in its way to structure information and to remove correlation.





















# STOC free will be used by every country or region to evaluate probability of freedom of traded animals for any disease





# COST Action SOUND-control for sharing and disseminating knowledge with ~24 EU countries.

















#### The use of the STOC free framework will stimulate:

- Safe trade
- Improved biosecurity on farms
- Economic benefits due to reduced risk in a flexible trade context







- Who can be end user of the framework?
- Mow to assure objectiveness?
- Mow to maximise uptake and use?







## Thank you for your attention



## http://www.stocfree.eu/

This study was awarded a grant by EFSA and was co-financed by public organisations in the countries participating in the study.











